

**District Court of the United States,**  
**for the District of Oregon;**  
**Article-3, Constitutional-Court.**

**Oregon State Ex-Rel** )  
**Criminal Complaint Filing Parties:** )  
Jeremiah Bitz, Karen Bitz, Charles Stewart, )  
Richard Koenig, Wilbur Gaston, Frank Gable, )  
Aaron Donaldson, Danny Donaldson, Gary )  
Donaldson, William Johnstown, Daniel Ernst, )  
Natache Guirma, Stephen & Elizabeth Wallace, )  
Alta-Azonia Haney, Charles Clifford, Jason )  
Hagstrom, Larry Jackson, Scott Winegarden, )  
Michael McMullin, Graham Ellis, Ronald )  
Strasser, Rod Souza, James Hamilton, Phylis )  
Ingram/Bower, L. Carlyle Martin & Linda C. )  
Martin, Eric Mashia & Maggie Mashia, )  
Michael Schwerzler, Clifford Walker, Brent )  
Webster, Ian Wilson, Ryan Wilson, Ronald )  
Stout; & John & Jane Does 1 - 3,000,000; )

Versus: )

John Kitzhaber, )  
De-Facto Oregon State Governor; )  
& Paul De Muniz, )  
De-Facto Oregon State Chief-Justice; )  
& John & Jane Does 1 - 10,000. )

Federal District Court Case #:

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Class-Action Felony**  
**Criminal-Complaint;**

Proceeding in the nature of  
**State-Ex-Rel & Quo-Warranto**  
(as recognizable under ORS 30.510 - 34.810,  
but not dependent there-on)

& Accusing the here-in named of:

**Treason,**

**Murder, Racketeering,**

**Fraud, Official Misconduct,**  
**Bribery, Abuse of Public Office,**

**Assault, Kidnapping, Perjury of**  
**Oath, Conspiracy, Coercion,**

**Pedophilia,**

**& Other Crimes to numerous to list here;**

**& with**

**Habeas-Corpus Hearings Demanded**

for Jeremiah Bitz, Richard Koenig, Frank  
Gable, Charles Clifford, & Ronald Strasser.

Action at Law;

Trial by Jury Demanded.

**This Felony Criminal-Complaint is:  
Solemnly Affirmed, Subscribed, and Verified.**

**All Judges, Clerks, and other Parties, Take Note:  
On Behalf of, and In the Interests of  
the Common People of the “State of Oregon”, & the “United States of America”;  
We Demand All of Our Rights to Prosecute this Criminal-Complaint  
through Originally-Constitutionally-Intended “Due Process of Law”,  
at All Times;  
and We Relinquish None of these Rights,  
at Any Time, Nor for Any Reason !!!**

**Do Not Obstruct this Constitutionally-Guaranteed,  
and Well-Settled Course of Step-By-Step Procedures  
for Securing the Naturally-Conscionable “Justice” which is “Due” to Us,  
Or You Will be Named as a Criminal Co-Conspirator  
in Future Amended Versions of this Complaint !!!**

**This complaint is intended to provide “Focus” to  
a Large & ever-growing Number of “Additional Complaints”  
that are either in direct accompaniment with this complaint,  
or else they will be soon forth-coming into this case-file.  
A complete & current Listing of All Complaints related to this case  
is maintained on our web-page, here:  
<http://oregongov.us/SupremeCourt/ExRelFederalComplaint/CasesList.htm>**

~~~~~  
**Jurisdiction:**

The Plaintiff in this case is the Constitutional “United States of America”, aka “We the People”, in our sovereign & de-jure organic body-politic capacity, which is/are writing these documents and speaking through its Component Constituent “Private Party” Members, as listed else-where here-in; and all as recognized as being lawful in Oregon Revised Statutes 30.510 through 30.610; & in the United States Code (Citation?) &/or Rule 81 of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. “Oregon’s Constitution” document itemizes these rights at Article 1, Sections 1, 7, and 10; and in Article 7 Original and Amended. Sections of the Criminal Codes of Oregon and the USA (Title 18) are also included here, as well as this court’s General “Common-Law” authority and jurisdiction.

Some researchers in our Constitutionalist's community attempt to penetrate the darkness surrounding the US Federal District Courts legitimate role by concluding that most or all of these courts in existence in the USA, including this one, are Not legitimate “Article 3 Courts”. Whether there be merit to this theory or not, either way, “All Power is Inherent in the People”, as Oregon's Constitution at Article 1 Section 1 clearly declares; & here-under, “We the People” grant & change this court with any “Due Process of Law” juris-diction which it might possibly otherwise be lacking.

Also; while the general theory of “Federalism” mandates that the role of the Federal Courts be “Limited”, & that the State & Local Courts handle the vast majority of these sorts of complaints; the situation here is unique & is deserving of an Exception to this general rule, because, as the accompanying complaints clearly show, there is an Epidemic of Corruption in the Court system being run by the present government of the State of Oregon; & under these unique circumstances, there is legitimate justification & lawful-authority for the Federal Courts to “Step into the Vacuum” to provide “Justice” for the people of

Oregon & the USA.

## **The Complaining Parties, and General Basis of our Complaints, Are:**

Comes Now, “We the People”, aka the “Common-People”, who Organically Compose the Constitutional “State of Oregon”, as well as the Constitutional “United States of America” (here-in-after frequently: “USA”). The People of Oregon and the USA are Lawfully Justified in moving before this court, in this manner, primarily because of the Epidemic/Multitude of Criminal-Acts which have been Committed against the Relator-Plaintiff-Accusers who are listed briefly on the front page of this Complaint, and who are more fully listed and described in “Appendix A”, attached here-to; and also on our web-page at: <http://oregon.gov.us/SupremeCourt/ExRelFederalComplaint/> . This list of Ex-Rel Complaining-Parties contains web-links to web-pages that have copies of the Complaints that have been filed, many of which have been un-justifiably dismissed by the corrupted officials in the Judiciary, as generally referenced here-in. These web-pages also contain data briefly-describing those other Complaints of Corruption amongst Public-Servants, but where-in these Complaints have not yet been formatted into forms which are sufficiently well-focused, so that their filing into court case-files would aid in the achievement of the Ultimate-Goal of all American Constitutional Courts, of “Justice”. We Co-Plaintiffs intend to up-date “Appendix A”, and the above-described web-page, regularly; as we are able to more fully document the details of the Complaints from each of these Co-Plaintiffs.

The allegations contained in the Criminal-Complaints, which are above and below linked to internet based web documents, are Incorporated and Re-Alleged here-in by this reference. Collectively; this amounts to a large number of criminal complaints against a large number of allegedly corrupted public-servants. This List of Accused/Defendants in this case is quite Long, especially when it includes all of the “John and Jane Doe” Accused/Defendants; and many of these Accused/Defendants do have their names quite well known to us; however, and at these preliminary-stages of proceeding in this court, we feel that the “Interests of Justice” will best be served by simplifying this entire case, by focusing on the two named Accused/Defendants, as described prominently on this documents front-page. This Constitutional “State of Oregon”, Ex-Rel, and it's numerous Co-Plaintiffs, have multitudes of Other Accused/Defendants listed in the accusatory-documents that are available through the web-pages that are above and below linked; however, and at this preliminary-stage of these proceedings, the additional-complexity of attempting to adjudicate the criminality of all of these other Accused/Defendants, we feel, would obstruct rather than aid in the Administration of Justice through this court.

The two main Accused/Defendants that are listed on the front-page of this complaint are holding offices of significant influence in the governmental machinery of our “State of Oregon”; and if this court is actually capable of following Constitutional “Due Process of Law” to dispose of our complaints against these two primary-offenders, and of similarly resolving our various Habeas-Corpus and other more Defensive concerns, we will then feel comfortable in expecting that our limited time/energy recourses will not be similarly wasted in putting together our collective complaints against this larger-number of accused corrupted public-servants, as our limited time/energy recourses have so frequently been wasted by those with recognizable “Judicial” authority in the numerous other Courts operating with-in this State.

All of these Complaining-Party Natural-Persons are moving before this court, and in this manner, as “Co-Plaintiffs” With our Constitutional “State of Oregon”; and along with numerous other “John and Jane Doe” complainants; we all are collectively complaining about our being damaged by this same generally under-lying and very wide-spread set of Situational/Factual Events. These include the surrounding and larger problem of the Willfully Corrupted Enforcement of a Private Administrative/Equity/Summary/Military Jurisdiction being secretively, maliciously, and conspiratorially imposed against multitudes of Un-Suspecting and Innocent Americans, in multitudes of the Courts of this nation. This entire subject of this Epidemic of Constitutionally-Lawless Jurisdiction Enforcement is Admitted to by sources in positions of governmental authority, and we intend for Evidence of these

Admissions to be submitted into this case and before this court at a later date. The private trade-union monopoly of Attorneys which is known as “Bar Associations” is at the core of this massive criminal racketeering conspiracy, and we expect to bring to the fore prominent officers from the “Oregon State Bar” Association, in such manners as can be used to efficiently adjudicate the merits of this State's Criminal-Complaints against them.

Here-under; we Complaining Parties are proceeding under those ancient Laws which Our Anglo-American People/Ancestors held in “Common”, pursuant to their individual “Self-Governing” efforts after the American Revolution of 1776. In other words; we are proceeding under the old Common-Law “Quo-Warranto” process, as it is applicable with-in our American System of Organic/Constitutional Government, and so far as it is reasonably inclined to assist in the Securing of “Naturally Conscionable Justice” for America’s Common People. This basic “Quo-Warranto Process” is recognized as being “Lawful”, and it is basically explained in Oregon Revised Statutes (here-in-after “ORS”), at ORS 30.510 - 30.640; as well in RCW 7.56; and in the case-law related there-to. This “Quo-Warranto Process” is only briefly explained in these opening paragraphs, and it is Exhaustively Explained in an Accompanying Document entitled: “Memorandum and Article in Support of Common People Directly Prosecuting Quo-Warranto/State-Ex-Rel Criminal Complaints ...”.

Briefly here-under; please note that we are proceeding by virtue of our Status as “Joint Tenants in the Sovereignty”, and our Direct, Ex-Rel “Relationship” with “We the People”, of our DeJure/Constitutionally-Lawful “United States of America”. As is recognizable under Oregon Revised Statutes 30.610, even though we as individuals are only humble “Private Parties”, yet we are “Having an Interest in the Question” presented here-in on behalf of and by the People who actually Compose our Organic/Constitutional Nation/State of the USA. As these “State-Ex-Rel” Statutes clearly declare, this Law allows us as Individuals to Proceed “In the Name of the State”. This is a “Process of Law” which is Constitutionally “Due” to Each and Every Honorable American; including our-selves; and all For the Express Purpose of bringing “Accountability” by way of “Due Process of Law” to bear on “Public-Servants” who have been Solemnly and Responsibly Accused by Reputable Members of our Organic American National Body-Politic as having Abused their Position of “Public Trust”.

Although the Crimes complained of here-in have been directly committed against us as Individuals and as “Private Parties”; we are here-under moving forward in the Best “Interests Of” and “On Behalf Of” “the State” of the “United States of America”, & Oregon. We are specifically recognized in these Statutes and accompanying case-law, as being “Co-Plaintiffs with the State”. This is True and Lawful because: Any Crime Against One Member of Our Organic State “Body-Politic”, is a Crime Against All Members of Our Organic Nation/State Body-Politic. This is just precisely as the above statutes so recognize; and as our State and National Constitutions quite clearly affirm. Again; these concepts are all explained in much greater detail in the above-mentioned and accompanying “Memorandum” document.

Foot-note; None of we “Co-Plaintiffs with the State”, as listed here-in; are laboring under any form of “Legal Disability”, such as a “Master/Servant” Relationship; which might in any way be construed as providing any color of lawful basis for any interference with our Full Rights as Sovereign and Free Americans. Such “Legal Disability” would be such as those of the numerous “Public Servants” named here-in, and who are here-by accused of having Abused their Position of “Public Trust” to benefit them-selves, and to benefit their co-conspirators. All Parties take further notice that These Demandants are Not in the receipt of any Franchise of any Membership from any Exclusive Bar-Association for the ‘Privilege’ of ‘Practicing Law’, and here-under we Demand that All Parties note that: the Exact Proper Wording and Presentation of these Issues is Not to be Held to those Exacting Standards which are Demanded of Bar-Member Lawyers. There are “Liberal Rules of Pleading” under which We Non-Franchised Non-Bar-Members have the Right to Proceed, and they are Recognized with-in the U.S. Supreme Court’s wording as:

**“ . . . the allegations of the pro se complaint, . . . we hold to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers, . . . ”**

## **Criminal Complaint: Sufficiency:**

Through this complaint, We, the Relator-Plaintiff-Accusers who are listed briefly on the front page of this Complaint, and who are more fully listed and described in “Appendix A”, attached here-to; proceeding as “Private Parties”, who are Directly “Related” in socially-compacted organic body-politic to this Nation/State; and here-by we all Make Solemn Oath so-as-to Solemnly Affirm or Swear under such “Penalties of Perjury” as a Jury following Constitutional “Due Process of Law” may find “Conscionable”; that We Do Meet the Guidelines which have been Affirmed by the Congressional-Assembly of this Nation/State, and by Common-Law, for the defining the “Sufficiency” of “Criminal Complaints”. If any person contest that we have Not “Met the Sufficiency of a Criminal Complaint”, then let them similarly Solemnly Affirm their Contrary-Accusation. We are prepared to “Join Issue” on that particular and critically-important point; if any person is so bold as to dare to so contest the factual and lawful “Truthfulness” of these words contained in our complaints.

In addition to the similar accompanying or soon forth-coming complaints of others; we do all further here-by Proceed in the name of and on behalf of “We the People” of this good/de-jure “United States of America”, and this “State of Oregon”, to File this Criminal Complaint, in full conformity with such Statutory Guidelines for these sorts of Criminal Complaints. In Oregon this statutorily is in ORS Title 14 governing the “Procedure in Criminal Matters Generally”; and at ORS 133.007, and 133.015.

In particular, and before the Face of “Almighty God”, as each of us may conceive that entity; We do Solemnly Affirm, Subscribe, and Verify, so-as-to make “Sufficient ... Complaint”, as follows:

Many of the Defendants have here-in been properly named, as above; at least so far as they are known to us, and with sufficient fullness so-as-to move forward with the prosecution of this criminal complaint. Other defendants, presently unknown, or of lesser roles in this criminal-conspiracy, may be named at a later date. This lack of specific naming of presently unknown criminal-defendants should not hinder the practical ability of this court to move forward with this case.

These offenses were committed with-in the jurisdiction of this court, or are triable here-in.

These offenses have been committed prior to the filing of this complaint, and on the dates alleged here-in.

## **The Historical “Facts”, Listed generally by “Time-Line”, & in “Chronological Order”:**

### **John Kitzhaber;**

In this Constitutional “State of Oregon”, and in the year 2000, and on the date of the 27<sup>th</sup> of September, I, Charles Bruce Stewart, did then personally deliver the document entitled similarly as “Constructive Notice of Delinquency of the Governor of Oregon to Take Care that the Laws be Faithfully Executed”, to John Kitzhaber, who was then the sole individual acting as the “Governor” of this “State of Oregon”. A full and accurate electronic reproduction of this document is available on the internet’s web-page here:

<http://oregon.gov/SecretaryofState/defactos/Kitzhaber-ConstructiveNoticeDelinquency&Cure1.html>

<http://oregon.gov/SecretaryofState/defactos/Kitzhaber-ConstructiveNoticeDelinquency&Cure1.pdf>

A couple of weeks later, on the date of the 13<sup>th</sup> of October, 2000; I did personally place in the mail to John Kitzhaber, the follow-up letter, which was intended to supplement the afore-mentioned “Constructive Notice” document, and which is available on the internet, here:

<http://oregongov.us/SecretaryofState/defactos/Kitzhaber-ConstructiveNoticeDelinquency&Cure2.htm>  
<http://oregongov.us/SecretaryofState/defactos/Kitzhaber-ConstructiveNoticeDelinquency&Cure2.pdf>

These documents collectively imposed on John Kitzhaber the “Duty”, because he was acting as the “Governor” of our State of Oregon, and as a “Public Servant”, to Respond to and Address these issues, so that the “Acts of War” against Oregon's common People, by Corrupted Public-Servants, as complained about generally there-in, could be brought to a Stop. This “Duty” to Respond was “Due” to the People of Oregon with-in approximately 30-days of John Kitzhaber's receipt of these documents.

Because John Kitzhaber was then acting as the “Governor” of this Constitutional “State of Oregon”, he was in the possession of sufficient Governmental Power to Stop these “Acts of War” against Oregon's People. Yet John Kitzhaber then Refused to perform, with-in the reasonable time-frame of approximately 3-days, upon his Constitutional Duties to the People of Oregon, to Respond to these Issues then properly Raised before him. At least partially because of John Kitzhaber's Refusal to Respond to these issues, which were then properly raised before him; the “Acts of War” against Oregon's People, as complained of there-in, have been Allowed to Continue on into this present day; and all of which has resulted in the exacerbation of the problems then existing, and directly resulting in the multitudes of criminal injustices that are presently and collectively being complained of here-in.

This Constitutional State, intends to prove beyond a reasonable doubt, at Trail, that John Kitzhaber then Knew that these “Acts of War” were bring committed against Oregon's People; but He did “Not Care”, because he had “Mens-Re”, “Malice Aforethought”, or “Malicious Intent”, in his heart; and because he was well-compensated to become involved in a “Criminal Conspiracy”, to allow the Pillage and Plunder of these “Acts of War” to continue against Oregon's People.

Eleven years later, on the date of the 24<sup>th</sup> of October, 2011; and also later on the 3<sup>rd</sup> of November, 2011; I, Charles Stewart, did cause to be delivered to John Kitzhaber, by way of my associates Roberta Kelly, Michael McMullin ???, and the US Mail, a document entitled similarly as “Second Constructive Notice of Delinquency of the Governor of Oregon to Take Care that the Laws be Faithfully Executed”, to John Kitzhaber, who was then the sole individual acting as the “Governor” of this “State of Oregon”. A full and accurate electronic reproduction of this document is available on the internet's web-page here: <http://oregongov.us/SecretaryofState/defactos/Kitzhaber-ConstructiveNoticeDelinquency&Cure3.html>  
<http://oregongov.us/SecretaryofState/defactos/Kitzhaber-ConstructiveNoticeDelinquency&Cure3.pdf>

Again; John Kitzhaber had a Duty to Respond to the People of Oregon, with-in approximately 30 days of that date, to the issues raised in this document. John Kitzhaber has Refused to perform his Duty to Respond to these issues in this timely manner.

**Paul De Muniz;**